

# SCLA

## LAW REVIEW JOURNAL

Eric Fiechter, Ken Dai and Jet Deng, Jiong Sheng, Dr Hermann Knott & Dr Fritjof Börner, Fabian Techmann & Marie-Christin Falker, Zhu Yaolong & Lu Hang, Christiane Féral-Schul, Natalia Jara, Olga N. Tsiptse, Federico Antich, Lionel Paraire, Robert Rhodes, Eugenio Loccarini, Liu Yi & Zhou Kaiyuan, Muhammad Arslan, Guiscardo Lovovico Pireni & Munari Cavani, Luciano Inácio de Souza, Alexander Lindemann & Martin Schweikhart

What do China's new  
cybersecurity regulations  
mean for data protection?

Can thinking machines be held  
liable for discrimination?

Is Luxembourg the best jurisdiction  
for block chain?

50€ per issue / 250€ per year

ISSN 2673-5407



ISSUE  
02

Swiss Chinese  
Law Association



## Italian Lease Agreements during Covid-19: *Where does force majeure not apply?*

**Guiscardo Lodovico Pireni  
& Munari Cavani**

Commercial lease agreements have not been spared the knock-on effects of the pandemic.

Following containment measures by Italy's government and regional presidents, many traders and entrepreneurs were forced to suspend their activities for substantial time periods. They have suffered significant economic losses.

Since the lockdown began, one of the most important issues was to understand how to regulate commercial lease agreements and how to rebalance the tenant-landlord relationship during the epidemiological emergency.

It seems intuitive that many tenants (traders or retailers) who entered into a lease agreement prior to the epidemic are now in a serious crisis. Not only are they facing economic losses resulting from the epidemiological emergency.

But the law and the legislature have also failed to take effective measures to regulate existing lease agreements between private individuals.

On 17 March 2020 the Italian legislature passed Decree-Law no. 18, granting tenants a tax credit equal to sixty percent of the lease for shops or stores. However, this left out all buildings designated for laboratories, offices, or warehouses.

In Italy, leases for commercial use are governed by law 392/1978. Tenants, regardless of the provisions in the lease agreement, may terminate their lease at any time with at least six months' notice if a serious emergency arises.

However, this provision is not particularly appropriate during the pandemic. Tenant will often have no interest in terminating their

contractual relationships definitively. Rather they will seek

The strictly tax-related nature of this intervention certainly did not solve the problem in its entirety. It became necessary to identify rules of the legal system (both in the Italian Civil Code and in special laws) that could help tenants or, at least, limit an excessive number of lawsuits over lease agreements.

From the perspective of the Italian Civil Code, which also regulates lease relationships, there might be a different solution to the risk of defaulting.

Firstly, the landlord has an obligation to ensure that the property is handed over to the tenant in a good state of maintenance, and to keep it suitable for the use for which it has been leased.



The landlords must also guarantee the tenant's peaceful enjoyment. It follows that during the emergency period landlords may not breach these stipulations. Since March, an attempt has therefore been made to recover these general rules on agreements and obligations in order to remedy the tenant-landlord relationship, with the aim of restoring a degree of fairness.

Article 1218 of the Italian Civil Code provides that debtors (in this case tenants, who pay the lease fees) who fail to fulfil the performance is required to pay damages, unless the non-execution or delay was caused by circumstances beyond their control.

The Italian legislature has tried to contain the effects that a strict application of the law would have produced. It stated, in Decree Law no. 18 on 17 March 2020, that compliance with the governmental contagion containment measures must always be assessed for the purposes of excluding, pursuant to and for the purposes of articles 1218 and 1223 of the Italian Civil Code, the debtor's liability. This also applies with regard to the application of any disqualification or penalties connected with delayed or omitted fulfilment.

That said, it cannot be stated that the tenant is exempted, for this reason only, from fulfilling their obligation, since the provisions of the decree merely invite the judicial authorities to consider the context of any contractual breach.

Consequently the only solution for the debtor is demonstrating how punctual performance was impossible for reasons beyond their control.

Indeed, this might turn our thought to force majeure and hardship. Both principles exclude the debtor's liability and would allow the termination of the agreement (assuming that the tenant has a real interest in dissolving the entire agreement).




**Guiscardo Lodovico Pireni**

However, Italian case-law does not generally recognise economic failure as sufficient to consider an obligation impossible to perform since is a subjective condition. For instance, consider a multinational company with thousands of shops all over the world. If, for example, a single store in Italy had to remain closed due to government provisions, it certainly cannot be said that the company is unable to pay its lease. It would still have income streams from the rest of the world. Therefore, for the tenant, impossibility does not necessarily concern their ability to pay.

Another solution has to be found for the small retailer with only one retail outlet. Intuitively, their only source of income is a single activity carried out in Italy. This problem is still waiting for a solution which, on the one hand, can restore fairness and contractual equity and, on the other, does not encourage legal.

Currently, the available remedy with the greatest guarantee of success is a private renegotiation between tenant and landlord. This process should take into account the principles of fairness and good faith that should always inform contracts and their fulfillment.



**Munari Cavani**



# What does SCLA stand for?



- We stand for A GLOBAL COMMUNITY**
- We stand for A GLOBAL VOICE**
- We stand for A GLOBAL VISION FOR ASIAN AND EUROPEAN LAWYERS**

The SCLA is working for a more transparent, collaborative and integrated legal world.

By promoting mutual understanding between European and Asian countries we will reduce both costs and cultural barriers.

That is why we publish the journal you are reading in both Chinese and English. And why we run global forums that connect European and Asian lawyers.

We stand for your invitation to a global network.

Find out more and how you can join our growing network at [www.cnsla.org](http://www.cnsla.org).

## Risk and infrastructure investment

Lawyers need to be experts in risk. But how should the profession handle new uncertainties associated with a post-Covid-19 world?

The third edition of the Swiss Chinese Law Review discusses the risks lawyers must tackle in cases related to cybersecurity and infrastructure investments for reconstruction after the pandemic. What are the legal hazards posed by new internet technologies and cybersecurity legislations around the world? What gambles might law firms, business and states face in a world crying out for sustainable investment, while caught in a paradigm rift between neoliberalism and economic nationalism?

We welcome your contributions, analyses of legal cases, best practice advice or personal experience on how to approach investment and cybersecurity risks after the pandemic; from Brexit to Belt and Road, AI to IP, or Bitcoin-mining to windfarms.

Find out how to submit your contribution at:  
[Journal@cnsla.org](mailto:Journal@cnsla.org)

Our journal aims to link up legal professionals and academics working in and between China and Europe. The journal will offer a platform for exchanging insights and connections.

As a contributor we hope to be able to introduce you to our international network of legal professionals and scholars with a shared interest in SwissChinese relations and the law.





# SCLA

Swiss Chinese Law Review Journal

---

**Journal:** [www.sclalawreview.org](http://www.sclalawreview.org)

**Email:** [Journal@cnsla.org](mailto:Journal@cnsla.org)

---

© Unless otherwise stated expressed, all rights reserved.  
Neither this publication nor any part of it may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of Swiss Chinese Law Association.

ISSN 2673-5407